HERBST APPLIANCE REFERENCE CARD

Condyle-Fossa Modifications & Clinical Guidelines

II KEY RESEARCH FINDINGS

Voudouris et al. - Revolutionary Growth Relativity Theory

What Changed Everything:

• **X OLD THEORY:** Lateral pterygoid muscle hyperactivity drives growth

• W NEW THEORY: Viscoelastic tissue forces & mechanical transduction

Actual EMG Results:

• **DECREASED** muscle activity during successful treatment

• Growth occurred with **REDUCED** EMG activity in all muscles tested

• Contradicts 30+ years of previous assumptions

I TREATMENT CONTRIBUTIONS

(7mm change along occlusal plane)

Component	Contribution
Condylar Growth	22-46%
Glenoid Fossa Modification	6-32%
Dental Changes	~30%
TOTAL ORTHOPEDIC	~70%
TOTAL ORTHODONTIC	~30%

DAGE-RELATED RESPONSES

Age Group	Condylar Response	Fossa Response	Recommendation
Juvenile (Mixed)	High ∉	High ✓	OPTIMAL TIMING
Adolescent	Moderate ∆	High ∉	Good timing
Adult	Limited X	Moderate ∆	Consider alternatives

© CRITICAL DESIGN FEATURES

Must Include:

- 1.5mm occlusal coverage (prevents condylar resorption)
- Progressive activation (1-2mm every 10-15 days)
- Continuous wear (not intermittent)
- Vertical distraction of condyle from eminence

Activation Protocol:

• 6 weeks: 4-5mm total advancement

• 12 weeks: 7mm total advancement

• 18 weeks: 8mm total advancement

DESCRIPTION EXPECTED OUTCOMES

Skeletal Changes:

- Super Class I malocclusion development
- Forward & downward fossa remodeling
- Increased mandibular length
- Superior & posterior condylar growth

Timeline:

• 6 weeks: Extensive cartilage proliferation

• 12 weeks: Peak bone formation (1.2mm average)

• 18 weeks: Maximum response (doubled spine thickness)

CLINICAL RED FLAGS

Warning Sign	Cause	Prevention
Condylar resorption	TMJ compression	Occlusal coverage essential
TMJ pain	Excessive force	Proper vertical dimension
Rapid relapse	Poor retention	6+ months retention minimum
Disk displacement	Improper design	Herbst-block design

GROWTH RELATIVITY THEORY

Key Mechanism:

```
Displaced Condyle \leftarrow \rightarrow [Stretched Retrodiskal Tissues] \leftarrow \rightarrow Glenoid Fossa \downarrow Radiating Growth Radiating Growth
```

Force Distribution:

- Viscoelastic tissue stretch between condyle & fossa
- Mechanical transduction along fibrocartilage
- · Reciprocal bone formation at both sites
- NOT muscle hyperactivity

I RETENTION PROTOCOL

Critical Requirements:

- Minimum 6 months active retention
- Progressive reduction of appliance wear
- Monitor muscle reattachment process
- Extended follow-up essential

Why Retention Fails:

- Return of anterior digastric muscle function
- Perimandibular connective tissue pull
- Natural tendency for posterior condylar seating

UCLINICAL DECISION FLOWCHART

```
Class II Patient

↓
Age Assessment

↓
Mixed Dentition?

↓
YES → HERBST with occlusal coverage

↓
Continuous activation (1-2mm/10-15 days)

↓
Monitor 6+ months treatment
```

MEMORY AIDS

"VOUDOURIS RULES"

- Viscoelastic forces drive change
- Occlusal coverage prevents resorption
- Undermining old muscle theories
- Decreased EMG during growth
- Orthopedic effects dominate (70%)
- Under-aged patients respond best
- Retention critical for stability
- Inferior-anterior fossa growth
- Super Class I results expected

BOARD EXAM PEARLS

- 1. Growth occurs with DECREASED muscle activity
- 2. 70% orthopedic vs 30% orthodontic effects
- 3. Occlusal coverage prevents condylar resorption
- 4. Mixed dentition = optimal treatment timing
- 5. Continuous > intermittent activation
- 6. Retention failure = muscle reattachment

Clinical Applications

- Severe Class II mandibular retrognathism
- Mixed dentition preferred timing
- · Requires comprehensive retention planning
- Monitor TMJ health throughout treatment
- Expect super Class I requiring finishing

© 2025 - Based on Voudouris et al. Landmark Research

Print on cardstock and laminate for durability